

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
ert tie to 03/148819. 30 to topolisis and	Struct 2000 gr	or is inquested the Knish Name of the Sing with the Sing of the strip and the strip are the single of the single o	7/152 - 000/		
			1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.133)		
to configurate larinered with galaxy in being Altalanians to set and the second diagrams of h	ark Union style id an make i	no A) business with the Patent or Tradoms	diny ni batan <mark>EXAMINER</mark> ation pisat A 1 8 Salata primos to tean art, pent no plassilique		
to income appair as exact notifier of policies as	า (ค.ศ. 2001) การเกาะสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามาร เกาะสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามารถสามา	Total and the paid in any alleged and providing, st	INDIANAES THE THAT HE PART TO A TO SO THE TO S		
polisional organisti ereformen afterekenbornen i		tithe office decidence asked edice and exist.	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•	•		615 Empires in to consider 20 and brown or		
application is a seed of a consecution to valid. Lesses which asker disproyers the question of	ionam astronom toather of on	i formane color and and an area of the solor of the same of the second o	EMAILED: TO entrain the coloring entering entering		
Sil Bacoviadus la relicio y passine 800 () vissa	INTE	RVIEW SUMMARY se paramat period period terment need	villa. Ass.		
All participants (applicant, applicant's re	presentative. RTO persor	ing the appropriate boxes and litting in the clair	Elean Freits most compilion i rechested to been decuseed lungs; the interdew by check only procedural matters, disented solidiy of each Examining Procedure, or positing our lygogre		
(1) G.S. Kishove		(3) Sean Muers	-Pavne-		
2) Robert M. JOWE	Sele la mode so broad them e	in di asuala, redanti regio, decasta persone ne La l'engl <mark>(4)</mark> ed redant l'estante no transcri	to ad dada mnoë gasmanë pravitetit erë		
Dat of Interview and consequence and according	a a dun in ernew, dun 2 a.c. Partina axenina et not duna	<u>Lot. 200, White mades of abbasion ago tan become a lot to saute cult at my faretik ent to accounts now A sonotine research technique it activities and agnetically to the following of andiques of the rolls</u>	to the equiliance for the end of agents for the		
ype: Telephonic Personal (cop	y is given to applica	nt applicant's representative).	क्ष प्रता कर ११ केवल १ वर्ग १६ वर्ग १६ १४ वर्ग १८ १४ वर्ग १८ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५		
xhibit shown or demonstration conducte			robinations are to say much leader -		
	,		se times a la combite. With 1 Sail to 6100		
gre m nt □ was reached. 🛭 was no laim(s) discussed: Claims	on neural and	DOM: From the articles to be a supple to the proper them.	hier to a series of a mile		
ntification of prior art discussed:	My aut m	Mary .	A specifical of the second of		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		AND THE THE COURT OF THE PROPERTY AND		
escription of the general nature of what	was agreed to if an agre	ement was reached, or any other comme	ents: Mr Payne discussed		
sustained release no	time of the form	roat after the lag line	as opposed to the instan		
in Wogg/ 10,24 25	into overame t	he reference the lasti	क्ष प्रस्ति । स्ट.स्ट. मार्गास प्रति प्रति । प्रस्ति । स्ट. १६ १५ वर्ग स्थापना ह्या । १ - १९ वर्ग १५ वर्ग । स्वर्ग १८ १५ वर्ग स्थापना हुन्य ।		
lefined (as in the propose	ragona i negatirizaria su usi s		me and the surfaced re		
The second second		1 mg/a	Can amend year of		
may or may	with he enter	Control of the second of the second			
ust be attached. Also, where no copy of	i the amendments which	if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available.	silable a summary thereof must be		
It is not necessary for applicant to p	provide a separate record	of the substance of the interview.	rhavara (f. 1934) de litera (f. 1964). El la respectación de la respectación		
less the paragraph above has been choose the paragraph above has been choose the paragraph above has been choose the paragraph above the paragraph	ecked to indicate to the c HE SUBSTANCE OF TH NT IS GIVEN ONE MON	contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONDED INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 71). ITH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	3 04) If a response to the last Office		
rejections and requirements that ma	mary above (including a y be present in the last (requirements of the last (ny attachments) reflects a complete resp Office action, and since the claims are no Office action: Applicant is not relieved fro	w allowable this abmolated to		

Collectudi S. Kishore, PhD

y Examiner,
1600

	তেওঁটি ব্যক্তিত তথ্য nualrof:Patent Examining ভাৰত এও ১০৯১	g Procedure, Se ti	n 713.04 Subst			
ppication, whether or	tement as to the substance or not an agreement with the e	xaminer was reached	at the interview.	vith regard to an applic	cation <u>must be ma</u>	de of record in the
TOTAL CONTENSOR	and the second trade of the second and the second a	2/2/2/2016 A 1/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2	1:133 Interviews	TANGET STATE		RANG MOTAQUARA

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An Interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or REPARKER IN

THILL TELA e action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews. (2)

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner stresponsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of PATERVIEW SHAMARY

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is officerwise provided for in Section 812:01 of the Manual Practical Control of t Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file; and listed on the Contents, list on the file. wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form'is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstance.

tate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.
The Form provides for recordation of the following informations of the state of the following informations of the following information of the
Control Misselven and All III at
-Serial number of the application -Name of application
-Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
-Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
-An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
-virigentification of the cisims discussed
- An Identification of the specific prior and discussed

An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the

The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview

Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is designable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and affiline agrees that the examiner will second same. Where the examiner of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by Form or in an attachment to the rount, the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, for is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration, conducted;

2) an identification of the claims discussed,

3) an identification of specific prior art discussed

4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summan Form completed by the examiner,

5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or) a bner identification of the general tritust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature/ or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be unuerstood in the context of the arguments made to the examiner, emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,

6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and

7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c))...

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.